





# ADVERSUS INTERDICTUM

# DECLARATION AND NOTIFICATION IN HONOR OF JURISDICTION IUS NATURALIS SOLE LEGITIMATE NOTICE UNDER UNIVERSAL LAW, NATURAL LAW AND COMMON LAW

I Am the One who is carlos elias of the descendance huertas torres, Living Man / Living Woman, who always acts in honor, with responsibility and without prejudice, making use of my full cognitive and psychophysiological abilities, therefore, I am forced to reiterate, that I will not be subjected by any means to commit any type of crime, mainly the crime of accepting a legal fraud, by recognition, giving consent to the fraudulent and annulled contract of the legal fiction.

#### CONSURGE QUID VIVENTIS / RISEN BECAUSE I LIVE

# FICTIO IURIS CESSAT, UBI VERITAS LOCUM HABERE POTEST / A PRESUMPTION FALLS, WHEN THE TRUTH PREVAILS

IN CLARIS NON FIT INTERPRETATIO / IN CLARITY THERE IS NO ROOM FOR INTERPRETATION

# INADIMPLET CONTRACTUS ET FALSUM TESTIMONIUM GRAVE CRIME HABETUR - BREACHING A CONTRACT AND GIVING FALSE TESTIMONY IS A SERIOUS CRIME.

Therefore, I declare the present and future facts ad memoriam for the sake of clarity and transparency in ADVERSUS INTERDICTUM:

- 1- The **Homo Vivo** is private and cannot assign his status to third parties, unless he gives his explicit consent, this constitutes a contractual mechanism.
- 2- The Homo Vivo can contract with another Homo Vivo, a corporation can contract with another corporation, a corporation cannot contract with the Homo Vivo, hence the claim to associate the Homo Vivo with a legal fiction / corporate entity, which allows a corporation to contract through it with the Homo Vivo. The legal fiction contract does not meet any of the requirements of the contract, therefore, it is void.
- 3- For a contract to be valid, several conditions must be met, one of them is full disclosure, that is, all parties are aware and conscious of what is happening. Being as it is the supposed contract of legal fiction created in the act of birth of the homo (child) vivo with the birth certificate, it is confirmed that it does not meet the basic precondition of a contract, thus, it is confirmed that the contract is illegal and therefore null. This means that the use of a NAME AND SURNAME/corporate entity/legal fiction is criminal and fraudulent.

#### Verdict of the International Court of Common Law

Trying to associate a Homo Vivo with a legal fiction is a crime of fraud punishable before the international courts of Common Law, Verdict CO/DUN/21/1 of the Court of Common Law of Great Britain and International.

4- The Self-determined Homo Vivo represents himself in all the legal and legitimate venues with which he must interact, he has full and inalienable legal personality, and by definition denies any association with legal fiction, and cannot be forced by any means, neither by coercion, nor by force to associate himself with a legal fiction.

## Pact of San José of Costa Rica

Everyone has the right to have his or her legal personality recognized. Article 3. Right of Recognition of the Legal Personality of the American Convention on Human Rights (Pact of San José, Costa Rica) was ratified by Colombia on July 31, 1973.

- 5- Whoever intends that a **Self-determined Homo Vivo** cedes his own representation to third parties in the 'fattispecie' by appointing a lawyer, is committing the crime of inducing aggravated fraud if he is a holder of public office, and may be prosecuted in the corresponding international criminal headquarters.
- 6- Positive law is based on canonic law, and the main figure to act with contracts within the legislation of positive law is the legal fiction, without this, the governmental/corporate system, which is devoid of essence, cannot contract with Homo Vivo in Spiritus.
- 7- In canonic law the crime of 'Interdictum' is contemplated for those who are associated with a legal fiction, this means that an individual in this condition has been declared or has declared himself incompetent, useless and incapable and is deprived of the administration of his own person and property. Interdiction and disability are equivalent; an interdict is one who lacks autonomy and requires a guardian.
- 8- A lawyer corresponds to the figure of the guardian, all legal procedures of positive law require that the respondent be

I AM Centrebum caro factum hic est, Carlos et 12021976 Vertes torres
Pag. 1 of 3.

1

### DECLARATION OF ABSOLUTE TRUTH





## ADVERSUS INTERDICTUM

represented by a lawyer, with this presumption the respondent is being forced to declare himself incapable, useless and unfit. This presumption is equivalent to induce another to commit the crime of fraud, aggravated by coercion because the consent is obtained with pressure and deception, it is intended to incriminate the alleged respondent, who from the acceptance of the legal fiction is automatically found guilty.

#### Crime of interdictum: canonic law tenor codex iuri canone 1332

9- Through this mechanism, the presumption of innocence is completely invalidated and the system can proceed to judge anyone only on the fact that he is declaring himself incapable, that is, 'inferior'. This allows the existence of a 'superior' figure, in the legal field represented by a judge, and allows the existence of the jurisdiction of positive law, since we know that in Natural Law no Homo Vivo can declare himself superior to another Homo Vivo. Not accepting the legal fiction invalidates any claim of jurisdiction, therefore, nobody is above anybody and nobody can be forced to be beneath another, that is, to accept a jurisdiction. The Homo Vivo categorically does not recognize legal fiction and is not subject to the jurisdiction of positive law.

In conclusion, whoever uses the representation of a lawyer, declares himself incapable, useless and inapt, and commits the crime of 'interdictum' typified in canon law, loses his legal personality. Knowing that the contract of association with a legal fiction is based on fraud and is null, whoever forces a Homo Vivo to use a lawyer may be charged with inducement to commit fraud and prosecuted in the relevant international criminal venues.

NIHIL TAM NATURALE EST QUAM EO GENERRE QUIDQUE DISSOLVERE QUO COLLIGATUM EST; IDEO VERBORUM OBLIGATIO VERBIS TOLLITUR; CONSENSUS NUDI OBLIGATORY IN CONTRARIO CONSENSU DISSOLVITUR.

NOTHING IS SO NATURAL AS TO DISSOLVE ANYTHING IN THE WAY IT WAS UNITED; THEREFORE, THE OBLIGATION OF WORDS IS REMOVED BY WORDS; THE OBLIGATION OF SIMPLE CONSENT IS DISSOLVED BY THE OPPOSITE CONSENT.

In fact, corporate governments (incorporated) cannot have jurisdiction over living homo de jure unless they have full informed and voluntary consent. Each and every one of the statutes promulgated by the governmental legislation, require the consent of each and every man and woman.

All public office requires an oath to be accepted. The oath of office is taken by the private homo vivo, and through this oath, he accepts the role with the power of his consent. Whoever accepts a position by oath cedes his private personality to the public sphere.

The holder of a public office is subject to the provisions of the private Homo Vivo, who is the one who can ask the public servant to answer for his actions and for the possible abuses of the public sphere in the private sphere of the living Homo.

EVERY OFFICIAL OR AGENT HOLDING A PUBLIC OFFICE MUST ANSWER FOR ALL HIS ACTS, BEHAVIORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE PRIVATE HOMO VIVO. HE MUST ALSO ANSWER FOR THE PERPETUATION OF A SYSTEM OF SLAVERY ON THE LIVING HOMO, THROUGH THE MECHANISM OF THE 'LEGAL FICTION', WHETHER WITH KNOWLEDGE OF THE CAUSE OR NOT.

Therefore, for what has already been stated and manifested:

I, carlos elias huertas torres, declare that no Homo Vivo, fulfilling the supposed role of public official within the corporate bodies masquerading as public nation states, can or should continue to coerce the imposition of its legal, criminal, judicial procedures, et idem sonans, as they are unviable towards the Homo Vivo that acts under the Natural Law.

Their jurisdiction is inadmissible until proven otherwise with evidence.

QUOD AD JUS NATURALE ATTINET, OMNES HOMINES AEQUALES SUNT./ ALL MEN ARE EQUAL AS FAR AS NATURAL LAW IS CONCERNED.

I, carlos elias of the descendance huertas torres, challenge jurisdiction when I do not give my consent.

IGNORANTIA IURIS NON EXCUSAT / THE LAW DOES NOT ADMIT IGNORANCE

In love, gratitude, peace, and transparency

SIC ET SIMPLICITER - NUNC PRO TUNC - REBUS SIC STANTIBUS IN TRUTH, HONOR AND WITHOUT PREJUDICE, I AM ETERNAL ESSENCE

Tverbum caro factum hic est, Carlos Relation 102/1970 Evitor tov v

,

# DECLARATION OF ABSOLUTE TRUTH





Carlos Clías nevertos torres

As a notary public the red fingerprint replaces the notarial seal - erga omnes -

CONSURGE QUID VIVENTIS / RISEN BECAUSE I LIVE "Pacta Sunt Servanda" "the agreement obliges"

No Settled Value, no liability. Errors and Omissions excluded. All rights reserved without prejudice to UCC 1-308. No recourse - not acceptable - Phone calls are not accepted.

#### WITNESSES:

The undersigned witnesses hereby, state that WE ARE and we attest that the above signatory signs this document on the aforementioned date of his own handwritten free will as attested by our signatures below.

Autograph and fingerprint: Autograph and fingerprint: Maria Rocco Ochea M. WLIQUE LEAL.E. Erga Omnes Erga Omnes Name Surname: Saul Enrique Leal Name Surname: Maria Rocio Ochoa Morales No dishonor. No dishonor. Without prejudice to UCC 1 - 308 Without prejudice to UCC 1 - 308 All rights reserved All rights reserved Indicative address: Sevilla, Valle del Cauca, Colombia Indicative address: Sevilla, Valle del Cauca, Colombia Date: February 22, 2022 Date: February 22, 2022

tverbum caro factum hic est, Carlos eligibles tovves Pag. 3 of 3.